

Uses of XML or JSON

Supporting arms-length relationships

- ▶ Exchanging information across software components, even within an administrative domain
- ▶ Storing information in nonproprietary format
- ▶ Representing semistructured descriptions:
 - ▶ Products, services, catalogs
 - ▶ Contracts
 - ▶ Queries, requests, invocations, responses: basis for Web services
 - ▶ System configurations

Compare with Lisp

List processing language

- ▶ S-expressions
- ▶ Cons pairs: **car** and **cdr**
- ▶ Lists as nil-terminated s-expressions
- ▶ Arbitrary structures built from few primitives
- ▶ Untyped
- ▶ Easy parsing
- ▶ Regularity of structure encourages recursion

Exercise

Produce an example XML or JSON document corresponding to

- ▶ An invoice from Locke Brothers for 100 units of door locks at \$19.95, each ordered on 15 January and delivered to Custom Home Builders
- ▶ Factor in certified delivery via UPS for \$200.00 on 18 January
- ▶ Factor in addresses and contact info for each party
- ▶ Factor in late payments

What is Metadata?

Literally, data about data

- ▶ Description of data that captures some useful property regarding its
 - ▶ Structure and meaning
 - ▶ Provenance: origins
 - ▶ Treatment as permitted or allowed: storage, representation, processing, presentation, or sharing
- ▶ Markup is metadata pertaining to media artifacts (documents, images), generally specified for suitable parsable units

Motivations for Metadata

Mediating information structure (surrogate for meaning) over time and space

- ▶ Storage: extend life of information
- ▶ Interoperation for business
- ▶ Interoperation (and storage) for regulatory reasons: supporting organizational coherence
- ▶ General themes
 - ▶ Make meaning of information (more) “explicit”
 - ▶ Enable reuse across applications: *repurposing* (compare to screen-scraping)
 - ▶ Enable better tools to improve productivity

Reduce need for detailed prior agreements

Metadata History

What kind and how much of prior agreement do you need?

- ▶ No markup: significant prior agreement
- ▶ CSV, Comma (likewise Tab) Separated Values: no nesting
- ▶ Ad hoc tags
- ▶ SGML (Standard Generalized Markup L): complex, few reliable tools; used for document management
- ▶ HTML (HyperText ML): simplistic, fixed, unprincipled vocabulary that mixes structure and display
- ▶ XML (eXtensible ML): simple, yet extensible subset of SGML to capture *custom* vocabularies
 - ▶ Machine processible
 - ▶ Comprehensible to people: easier debugging

Meaning of Information on the Web

Need to represent meaning to enable automatic processing

- ▶ Challenge: how can we produce representations that are rigorous yet comprehensible?
 - ▶ Humans rely on meanings of names (lexical tokens) for understanding
 - ▶ Computers work on uninterpreted symbols: the words don't matter but their interconnections do
- ▶ Relational DBMSs work best for rigidly structured information
 - ▶ But rely on column names for meaning
- ▶ Web information can be less rigidly structured
 - ▶ Same problem: reliance on names for meaning
 - ▶ Better opportunities to organize richer meaning representations
- ▶ Represent metadata through specification of a *vocabulary*, i.e., names organized through standardized relationships

Naming Conventions

Ways to systematically generate names

- ▶ MAC addresses
- ▶ Postal and telephone codes
- ▶ Vehicle identification numbers
- ▶ IP addresses and domains as for the Internet
- ▶ On the Web, use URIs for uniqueness

Namespaces on the Web

Essential for interoperation of heterogeneous resources

- ▶ Problem due to custom vocabularies and interoperation
 - ▶ Difficulty in identifying meaning
 - ▶ Risk of name collision
- ▶ A namespace is a set of names
- ▶ Namespaces must be identical or disjoint: no partial overlaps
 - ▶ Crucial to support independent development of vocabularies
 - ▶ Rely upon and provide a naming convention

Uniform Resource Identifier: 1

- ▶ URIs serve these main purposes
 - ▶ Identify resources we wish to access
 - ▶ Identify metadata of the resources
 - ▶ Identify namespaces using which the metadata is constructed
- ▶ URIs are abstract
- ▶ What matters is their (purported) uniqueness
- ▶ URIs have no proper syntax per se
- ▶ Kinds of URIs include
 - ▶ URLs, as in browsing: not used in standards any more
 - ▶ Formal syntax
 - ▶ A locating architecture: a way to resolve to a resource
 - ▶ URNs, which leave the mapping of names to locations up in the air
 - ▶ Formal syntax

Uniform Resource Identifier: 2

Good design requirements

- ▶ Ensure that the identified resource can be located
- ▶ Ensure uniqueness: eliminate the possibility of conflicts through appropriate organizational and technical means
- ▶ Prevent ambiguity
- ▶ Use an established URI scheme where possible

Web Architecture

Principles and constraints that characterize Web-based information systems

- ▶ URI: Uniform Resource Identifier
- ▶ HTTP: HyperText Transfer Protocol
- ▶ Metadata must be recognized and respected
 - ▶ Enables making resources comprehensible across administrative domains
 - ▶ Difficult to enforce unless the metadata is itself suitably formalized