Service-Oriented Computing CSC 450 and CSC 750

Munindar P. Singh, Professor singh@ncsu.edu

Department of Computer Science North Carolina State University

Fall 2017

Bio Highlights and Humble Bragging

- Students
 - Graduated PhD: 25; MS: 27
 - ▶ Inaugural Alumni Hall of Fame: Nirmit Desai, Pınar Yolum
 - ▶ Inaugural Faces of Computer Science (EB2 hall): Chris Hazard
 - Associate Editors: Amit Chopra, Michael Maximilien, Pınar Yolum
 - ▶ CGS MS Thesis Award: Payal Chakravarty; also, nominee: Anup Kalia
 - Dept awards, 2017 (3 out of 5): Nirav Ajmeri, Hui Guo, Pradeep Murukannaiah
 - ▶ Dept awards, 2016 (1 out of 5): Pradeep Murukannaiah
- NCSU Internal
 - Alumni Distinguished Graduate Professor
 - Research Leadership Academy
 - Outstanding Research Achievement Award
- External
 - ► Fellow, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence
 - ► Fellow, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
 - Editor in Chief
 - ACM Transactions on Internet Technology
 - IEEE Internet Computing



My Goal and Request for Your Help

- ▶ Introduce you to deep concepts, some years in the making in the research and advanced development community
- Introduce you to critical thinking
- Boost your confidence in taking on technical challenges
 - You might hesitate to take on otherwise
 - Your peer group might find overwhelming
- Offer free advice (worth every pennySM) about your
 - Education
 - Career
- How you can help
 - Don't take ethically dubious actions
 - Stay engaged
 - Communicate with me personally, especially about
 - Explanations and motivations
 - ▶ Improvements to the course, in general



Mechanics

- Scope
- Grading
- Policies
 - Especially, academic integrity
 - Don't help; don't take help; don't collude

Scope of this Course

- Directed at computer science students
- Addresses service-oriented computing, emphasizing how it differs from typical forms of computing
 - Emphasizes concepts and theory
 - Involves tools in assignments
- Requires a moderate amount of work
 - Fairly easy if you don't let your tasks slip

Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning Domains (Cognitive)

I emphasize the upper categories

Creating	Build new structures
Evaluating	Make judgments
Analyzing	Identify elements
Applying	Use on a problem
Understanding	State in own words
Remembering	Recall

http://www.nwlink.com/~donclark/hrd/bloom.html



Highlights

- Visions for the Web
- Open environments
- Services
- Main themes of this course
 - Information and its meaning
 - Decentralization of computation
 - Applying standards and tools where appropriate

Historical View on Computer Architectures

 $Central \Rightarrow Client-Server \Rightarrow Peer-to-Peer \Rightarrow Multiagent Systems$

The Web

- Initially, and still primarily, designed for people
 - Focuses on presentation
 - People provide the meaning: no formal representation
- I ow-level interactions
 - Client-server
 - Stateless
 - Focus on procedures across websites that cause avoidable coupling

The Service Web

- Support interactions among providers and consumers
 - Beyond presentation to representation of content
 - From plain representation of content to richer meanings
 - Ways of structuring complex activities
 - Ways for independent components to interoperate
 - Ways to share contextual information

Service Composition

- Providers build and launch services
- The services are understood by prospective consumers
- Consumers can discover and select suitable services
- ► Consumers put selected services together to create value and possible launch their compositions as new services

Electronic Business

- ▶ B2C: retail, finance
- B2B: supply chains (more generally, supply networks)
- Different perspectives
 - Traditionally: merchant, customer, dealmaker
 - Trends: collaboration among various parties; virtual enterprises; coalition formation
 - Challenge: how to cut across different perspectives

Main technical consequence: interacting across enterprise boundaries or administrative domains

Properties of Business Environments

- ► Traditional computer science deals with *closed* environments
- Business environments are open
 - Autonomy: independent action (how will the other party act?)
 - Heterogeneity: independent design (how will the other party represent information?)
 - Dynamism: independent configuration (which other party is it?)
 - Usually, also large scale
- Requirements
 - Going from one locus of control to multiple loci of control
 - Supporting flexible interaction and arms-length relationships

Open Environments Can Arise Outside of Business

Exercise: think of some examples

- Collaborative scientific computing
- Natural disaster recovery
- **.** . . .

Autonomy

Independence of business partners

- Sociopolitical or economic (commonsense) reasons
 - Ownership of resources by partners
 - Control, especially of access privileges
 - Payments
- Technical reasons: opacity with respect to key features, e.g., precommit
- Encapsulate: Model components as autonomous to
 - Simplify interfaces "assume nothing"
 - Accommodate any underlying exceptions

Heterogeneity

Independence of component designers and system architects

- Historical reasons
- Sociopolitical reasons
 - Differences in local needs
 - Difficulty of achieving agreement
- Technical reasons: difficulty in achieving homogeneity
 - Conceptual problems: cannot easily agree
 - Fragility: a slight change can mess it up

Dynamism

Independence of system configurers and administrators

- Sociopolitical reasons
 - Ownership of resources
 - Changing user preferences or economic considerations
- ► Technical reasons: difficulty of maintaining configurations by hand
 - Same reasons as for network administration
 - Future-proofing your system

Coherence

Fitting well with each other: An alternative to consistency

- There may be no state (of the various databases) that can be considered consistent
 - Maintaining consistency of multiple databases is difficult
 - Unexpected real-world events can knock databases out of sync with reality
- What matters is
 - Are organizational relationships preserved?
 - Are processes followed?
 - Are appropriate business rules applied?

Integration

Becoming one

Yields with one integrated entity

- Yields central decision making by one homogeneous entity
- Requires resolving all potential inconsistencies ahead of time
 - Freeze Org policies into computational system
- Fragile and must be repeated whenever components change

Obsolete way of thinking: tries to achieve consistency (and fails)

Locality and Interaction

A way to maintain coherence in the face of openness

- Have each local entity look after its own
 - Minimize dependence on others
 - Continually have interested parties verify the components of the state that apply to them
- ► Approach: (to the extent possible) replace global constraints with protocols for interaction
 - Lazy: obtain global knowledge as needed
 - Optimistic: correct rather than prevent violations
 - Inspectable: specify rules for when, where, and how to make corrections

Interoperation

Working together

Ends up with the *original number* of entities working together

- Yields decentralized decision making by heterogeneous entities
- ▶ Resolves inconsistencies incrementally
- Potentially robust and easy to swap out partners as needed

Also termed "light integration" (bad terminology)

Example: Selling

Update inventory, take payment, initiate shipping

- Record a sale in a sales database
- Debit the credit card (receive payment)
- Send order to shipper
- Receive OK from shipper
- Update inventory

Potential Problems Pertaining to Functionality

Scenarios that would lead to inconsistency

- ▶ What if the order is shipped, but the payment fails?
- What if the payment succeeds, but the order was never entered or shipped?
- ▶ What if the goods arrive damaged?

Architectural Considerations

Architecture is motivated by additional considerations besides functionality

- Instance level, nonfunctional properties such as the availability of a specific service instance
 - ▶ What if the payments are made offline, i.e., significantly delayed?
- ► Metalevel properties such as the maintainability of the software modules and the ease of the upgradability of the system

24

In a Closed Environment

- ► Transaction processing (TP) monitors ensure that all or none of the steps are completed, and that systems eventually reach a consistent state
- ▶ But what if the user is disconnected right after he clicks on OK? Did the order succeed? What if line went dead before acknowledgment arrives? Will the user order again?
- The TP monitor cannot get the user into a consistent state
 - Impossibility of ensuring consistency and progress in an open setting

In an Open Environment: 1

- Fundamental need
 - Model the rules of encounter among the parties
 - Matter of policies to ensure compliance
 - Engage user about credit problems
- Underlying assumptions and approach
 - Reliable messaging (asynchronous communication, which guarantees message delivery or failure notification)
 - Maintain state: retry if needed
 - Detect and repair duplicate transactions

In an Open Environment: 2

- Sophisticated means to maintain shared state, e.g., conversations
 - Coherence of local states.
 - Not immediate consistency, as traditional databases promise
 - Eventual "consistency" (howsoever understood)

Challenges

- ► Information system interoperation
- Business operations
- Exception handling
- Distributed decision-making
- Personalization
- Service selection (location and assessment)

Information System Interoperation: Supply Chains

The flow of materiel and goods from manufacturers and integrators to customers

- ▶ Flow of information among these parties
- Domain independent, e.g., Universal Business Language
 - Delivery requirements
 - Prices
- Domain dependent
 - Product specifications

Business Operations

Modeling and optimization

- Typical emphasis on internal operations
 - Inventory management
 - Logistics: how to optimize and monitor flow of materiel
 - Billing and accounts receivable
 - Accounts payable
 - Customer support
- More interesting situations in cross-organizational settings
 - ▶ Rules of encounter: normative and economic mechanisms
 - Handling exceptions

Exception Conditions

Virtual enterprises to construct enterprises dynamically to provide more appropriate, packaged goods and services to common customers

- Requires the ability to
 - Construct teams
 - Enter into multiparty deals
 - Handle authorizations and commitments
 - Accommodate exceptions
- Real-world exceptions
- Compare with PL or OS exceptions

Distributed Decision-Making: Closed

Manufacturing control: manage the operations of factories

- Requires intelligent decisions to
 - Plan inflow and outflow
 - Schedule resources
 - Accommodate exceptions

Distributed Decision-Making: Open

Automated markets as for energy distribution

- Requires abilities to
 - Set prices, place or decide on others' bids
 - Accommodate risks
- ► Economic mechanism (e.g., pricing, penalties) for rational resource allocation

Personalization

Consumer dealings to make the shopping experience a pleasant one for the customer

- Requires
 - Learning and remembering the customer's preferences
 - Offering guidance to the customer (best if unintrusive)
 - Acting on behalf of the user without violating their autonomy

Service Selection

Accommodating and benefiting from dynamism

What are some bases for selecting the parties to deal with?

- Specify services precisely and search for them
 - How do you know they do what you think they do (ambiguity)?
 - ▶ How do you know they do what they say (trust)?
- Recommendations to help customers find relevant and high quality services
 - How do you obtain and aggregate evaluations?