Structural Ambiguity

How different parse trees may be produced from the same sentence or phrase

- Attachment ambiguity: where a constituent may attach to the rest of the tree
 - I saw a man with a telescope
- Coordination ambiguity: How to group the arguments of a conjunction
 - Spicy rice and apples
- Disambiguation relies on applying additional knowledge
 - Of language, e.g., what verbs and nouns or prepositions go together
 - Of the real world
 - Of the context, such as prior sentences or conversations

Jurafsky's Miniature Grammar, \mathscr{L}_1

Omitting the lexicon

- $\mathsf{S} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{NP} \; \mathsf{VP}$
- $\mathsf{S} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Auxiliary}\text{-}\mathsf{Verb} \ \mathsf{NP} \ \mathsf{VP}$
- $\mathsf{S} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{VP}$
- $\mathsf{NP} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Pronoun}$
- $NP \longrightarrow Proper-Noun$
- $\mathsf{NP} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Determiner Nominal}$

Nominal \longrightarrow Noun

- Nominal \longrightarrow Nominal Noun
- Nominal \longrightarrow Nominal PP
 - $\mathsf{VP} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Verb}$
 - $VP \longrightarrow Verb NP$
 - $\mathsf{VP} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Verb} \ \mathsf{NP} \ \mathsf{PP}$
 - $VP \longrightarrow Verb PP$
 - $VP \longrightarrow VP PP$
 - $\mathsf{PP} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Preposition} \mathsf{NP}$

Attachment Ambiguity: Setting the Stage

I saw a man



Attachment Ambiguity: Example

I saw a man with a telescope

Modify the following tree for the above sentence



Attachment Ambiguity: 1

I saw a man with a telescope



Attachment Ambiguity: 2

I saw a man with a telescope



Simple Coordination Productions

Add these to the earlier grammar

- $NP \longrightarrow NP$ Conjunction NP
- Nominal \longrightarrow Nominal Conjunction Nominal
 - $VP \longrightarrow VP$ Conjunction VP
 - $PP \longrightarrow PP$ Conjunction PP

Also, for adjectives include

 $\mathsf{NP} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Adjective Nominal}$

Coordination Ambiguity: 1

Spicy rice and apples



Coordination Ambiguity: 2

Spicy rice and apples



Sentences in Practice

A. A. Milne, Winnie the Pooh

Eeyore's take on writing

"This writing business. Pencils and what-not. Over-rated, if you ask me. Silly stuff. Nothing in it."

- Five sentences
- Do you identify verbs in them?
- What grammar would generate these sentences?

Parsing with a Context-Free Grammar

Cocke-Kasami-Younger (CKY) algorithm

- Apply dynamic programming
 - Build up solutions incrementally
 - Reusing them in larger solutions
- Convert to Chomsky Normal Form
- Each constituent is based on
 - A single terminal
 - Two nonterminals (constituents)
- Compute and store all possible constituents for each cell in a matrix
 - Allow duplicates to accommodate ambiguity
 - Store provenance of each value
- When we arrive at a cell the cells it relies upon are already computed
- The nonterminal in the final cell represents the constituent for the entire input (if any)
- Reconstruct parse tree from the provenance

Constituency Parsing



Munindar P. Singh (NCSU)

Natural Language Processing

Improving CKY for Practical Use

- Generalize to arbitrary grammars (not just Chomsky Normal Form)
 - Ensures parses produced reflect grammarians' intuitions
- In statistical parsing, accommodate probabilities to
 - Select likelier parses
 - Avoid exponentially many parses

Partial or Shallow Parsing

Applicable when we don't need a complete parse to produce a valuable product

Produce flat trees

- Avoid decisions about nesting and ambiguity that a full parser must contend with
- Chunking: Identify constituents for nonoverlapping segments
- Exclude hierarchical structure
 - [Pro I] [V saw] [NP a man] [PP with a telescope]



Identifying Base Phrases

Alternative to chunking

- A base phrase (some variation in definitions)
 - Doesn't (recursively) contain constituents of the same type
 - Includes the headword and any prehead modifiers (or any post-head material)
 - Excludes post-head modifiers (to avoid attachment ambiguity)
 - Can be difficult to use as a result since boundaries are less clear
 - Can yield outcomes where an NP or PP may contain nothing other than its head



Machine Learning for Chunking

An application of sequence learning

- ▶ Introduce 2n+1 tags (given *n* chunk types)
 - ► *B_k*: Beginning of chunk type *k*
 - I_k: Inside of chunk type k
 - O: Outside of all chunk types
 - No need for end of a chunk since the beginning of the next (or end of sentence) indicates its end

Example of IOB chunking

- Training data: from existing treebanks
 - Identify head words of a constituent
 - Include head and prehead words within the constituent
 - Exclude post-head words

Evaluation Metrics for Chunking

- Correct chunk: whose tag (label) and segment are correct
- Metrics adopted from information retrieval

 $Precision, P = \frac{\text{Number of correct chunks identified}}{\text{Number of chunks identified}}$

 $Recall, R = \frac{\text{Number of correct chunks identified}}{\text{Number of (correct) chunks existing}}$

F-measure,
$$F_{\beta} = \frac{(\beta^2 + 1)PR}{\beta^2 P + R}$$

$$\mathsf{F}_1, \mathsf{F}_1 = \frac{2PR}{P+R}$$

F-measure trades off precision and recall

F₁ gives equal importance to precision and recall