

1. (16 points) Of the following statements, identify all that hold about XPath. (Below, E is an arbitrary XPath expression; i and j are positive integers.)
- A. The `text()` function extracts the first text node under the current element
 - B. If $i \neq j$, we never have $E[i][j]=E[j][i]$
 - C. The only cases where $E[i][j] = E[i]$ are when $i=j=1$
 - D. Assuming E does *not* evaluate to `()`, `E/foo` is never equal to `E[foo]`
 - E. XPath doesn't include recursive queries
 - F. The query `let $x := 1 return (2)[1]` produces a result of 2
 - G. In XPath, `*` abbreviates `node()`
 - H. `E[@lg]` selects members of E for which attribute `@lg` is defined and is not equal to the empty string

Solution:

E is true: recursion doesn't quite make sense for XPath (recursion makes sense for XQuery, of course)

F is true: 2 is the first value of the sequence (2)

A is false: `text()` returns all text nodes

B is false: the two expressions are equal when i and j are larger than `last()`

C is false: both sides yield `()` when i is larger than `last()` in its context

D is false: `E/foo` selects all foo subelements for members of E whereas `E[foo]` selects members of E that have a subelement—and these are equal when both are empty, which is possible even when E is not empty

G is false: `*` stands for all elements

H is false: it just tests for the existence of an attribute even if its value is the empty string

2. (24 points) Of the following statements, identify all that hold about XQuery. (Below, `Set` and `Pred` are functions and `$x` and `$v` are variables.)
- A. Using no axes other than `parent` and `child`, we can write an XQuery function that would compute the *ancestors* of its argument element
 - B. The order of evaluation of bindings in XQuery's `some` and `every` clauses is implementation-dependent
 - C. XQuery will become a candidate recommendation of the W3C in 2008
 - D. If every `$x` in `Set($v)` satisfies `Pred($x,$v)` then some `$x` in `Set($v)` satisfies `Pred($x,$v)`
 - E. The Effective Boolean Value of a proper negative fraction such as `-0.5` is `true`
 - F. The Effective Boolean Value of a string containing a proper negative fraction such as `"-0.5"` is neither `true` nor `false`
 - G. An easy way to swap values of `$x` and `$y` is `let $x := $y` followed immediately by `let $y := $x`
 - H. Consider a `let` clause with multiple variables. In such a clause, a positional variable (as in `at $pos`) refers to the position of each variable being assigned
 - I. The snippet `5` is a valid XQuery query even though it is not an XML document
 - J. The snippet `<foo>5</bar>` is a valid XQuery query even though it is not an XML document
 - K. If you ever see `$x` in an XQuery query, and the `$x` is not placed within quotes, then the `$x` is a variable
 - L. An executable XQuery query cannot contain any free variable

Solution: A, B, I, E, L

E is true: negative numerics have an effective boolean value of true

C is false: XQuery became a recommendation in January 2007

D is false: consider when `Set($v)` is empty

F is false: nonempty strings have an effective boolean value of true

G is false: it's not a swap

H is false: no positional variables for `let`

J is false: it's ill-formed

K is false: `$x` placed in a return is interpreted as a string even though it is not in quotes