

Bounding the Mean Cumulated Reward up to Absorption

A. P. Couto and G. Rubino

COPPE & INRIA/IRISA

MAM 2006

Outline

- 1/ Introduction
- 2/ The ECRA metric
- 3/ Fast and slow transitions and states
- 4/ Uniformization
- 5/ Stochastic Complement
- 6/ Lower bound
- 7/ Upper bound
- 8/ Examples
- 9/ Conclusions

Motivations

- paper area: evaluating performance, dependability and performability measures using Markov models
- models considered: no closed-form solutions, large state spaces
- problem: no exact (numerically speaking) solution available
- goal: obtain approximations of standard metrics *with error bounds*, avoiding the large state spaces
- starting point: in usual dependability contexts, we have *stiffness*

Main ideas

- We compute lower and upper bound of the Expected Cumulated Reward up to Absorption (ECRA),
- for a system modeled by an absorbing Markov chain with states weighted by rewards.
- The approach merges the idea of state space reduction using stochastic complementation with a path-based procedure.
- The idea of fast and slow transitions and states is used.

Markov model

- state space: $\{1, \dots, M\} \cup \{M + 1\}$, with $M \gg 1$
- CTMC X where $1, \dots, M$ are transient and $M + 1$ is absorbing;
 $\Omega = \{1, \dots, M\}$
- infinitesimal generator of X and its initial distribution are decomposed with respect to $(\Omega, \{M + 1\})$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q = -Q \mathbf{1}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- from any transient state i there is a path to state $M + 1$

Adding rewards

- r_i : reward rate at state i ; $r_{M+1} = 0$.
- The Cumulated Reward until Absorption is the random variable

$$R_{\infty}^X = \int_0^{\infty} r_{X(t)} dt.$$

- Target: $E(R_{\infty}^X)$, = ECRA.

Working in discrete time

- The canonical embedded DTMC is decomposed on $(\Omega, \{M + 1\})$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{ij} = Q_{ij}/(-Q_{ii}), \quad p = (I - P) \mathbf{1}$$

- Let $c = (\dots r_i/q_i \dots)^T$.
- Let $E(R_\infty^X | X(0) = i) = \rho_i$, and $\rho = (\dots \rho_i \dots)^T$.
- Then, $\rho = (I - P)^{-1}c$ (and $\text{ECRA} = \langle \alpha, \rho \rangle$).
- From now, goal = bounding ECRA through bounding ρ .

Key concept: fast and slow states

- transition (i, j) is fast iff $Q_{ij} \geq \theta > 0$
- transition (i, j) is slow iff $0 < Q_{ij} < \theta$
- state i is fast iff for some j , transition (i, j) is fast
- state $i \neq M + 1$ ¹ is slow iff it is not fast
- $S = \{i : i \text{ is slow}\} \ni X(0)$; $F = \{i : i \text{ is fast}\}$
- Assumption: there is no circuit only composed of fast transitions.
- We can decompose matrices such as Q or P , and vectors such as α , or ρ , on S, F . For instance,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_S & P_{SF} \\ P_{FS} & P_F \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^S \\ \rho^F \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹State $M + 1$ excluded just to simplify the presentation

Going to discrete time through uniformization

- Y : DTMC obtained by uniformizing X w.r.t. η

$$\text{t.p.m. (on } \Omega, \{M+1\}): \begin{pmatrix} U & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = I + Q/\eta, \quad u = (I - U)\mathbf{1} = q/\eta$$

- Also, $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_S & U_{SF} \\ U_{FS} & U_F \end{pmatrix}$.

- Define $R_\infty^Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{Y(k)}$ and $\text{ECRA}^Y = E(R_\infty^Y)$.

Going to discrete time through uniformization (cont.)

- We have $\text{ECRA}^Y = \langle \alpha, (I - U)^{-1}c \rangle$
- and $\text{ECRA}^X = \frac{\text{ECRA}^Y}{\eta}$

So, from this point, our target is ECRA^Y .

- To simplify, let us rename $\rho_i = E(R_\infty^Y \mid Y(0) = i)$, $i \in S$, and ρ the corresponding vector.
- We will bound this conditional expected cumulated reward vector ρ (so, working on the DTMC Y).

Going to discrete time through uniformization (cont.)

- Write

$$R_{\infty}^{Y,S} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{Y(k)} \mathbf{1}_{Y(k) \in S}, \quad R_{\infty}^{Y,F} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{Y(k)} \mathbf{1}_{Y(k) \in F},$$

then $\rho_i^S = \mathbb{E}(R_{\infty}^{Y,S} \mid Y(0) = i)$, $\rho_i^F = \mathbb{E}(R_{\infty}^{Y,F} \mid Y(0) = i)$.

- We will start from

$$\rho = \rho^S + \rho^F.$$

- Intuitively, in case of a highly dependable system, $\rho \approx \rho^S$.

Decomposing the state space

- Let \tilde{Y} be the DTMC obtained by stochastically complementing the subset S of slow states (plus state $M + 1$) in Y .
- Process \tilde{Y} is called the reduction of Y with respect to $S \cup \{M + 1\}$. It can be also defined by “sampling” from Y when Y visits $S \cup \{M + 1\}$.
- The t.p.m. of \tilde{Y} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U} & \tilde{u} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{u} = (I - \tilde{U})\mathbf{1}.$$

where $\tilde{U} = U_S + U_{SF}(I - U_F)^{-1}U_{FS}$

Decomposing the state space (cont.)

- Define $R_{\infty}^{\tilde{Y}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{\tilde{Y}(k)}$ and $\text{ECRA}^{\tilde{Y}} = \mathbb{E}(R_{\infty}^{\tilde{Y}})$
- For $i \in S$, define $\tilde{\rho} = \mathbb{E}(R_{\infty}^{\tilde{Y}} \mid \tilde{Y}(0) = i)$, and denote by $\tilde{\rho}$ the corresponding vector.
- Observe that $\tilde{\rho} = \rho^S$.

Lower bounding procedure

- Fix some $N \geq 2$. For all $i, j \in S$, we compute the $|S| \times |S|$ matrix U'_N where $(U'_N)_{ij}$ is the probability for Y to go from i to j by remaining in F in between, in no more than N steps.
- For this, we use a BFS (Breadth First Search) with depth N , to build all paths of the form

$$(i, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{N-1}, j),$$

where $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{N-1} \in F$.

- Clearly, $U'_N \leq \tilde{U}$.
- If we compute now $\rho' = (I - U'_N)^{-1}c^S$, we have

$$\rho'_i \leq \tilde{\rho}_i = \rho_i^S \leq \rho_i^S + \rho_i^F = \rho_i.$$

- Our lower bound is thus ρ' obtained by solving the linear system with size $|S|$

$$(I - U'_N)\rho' = c^S.$$

Remark: if by chance the model allows to compute \tilde{U} , then our lower bound of ρ will be simply $\tilde{\rho}$.

Upper bounding procedure

- Writing $\rho_i = \rho_i^S + \rho_i^F$,
we will upper-bound both terms in this representation.

Upper-bound of $\rho^S = \tilde{\rho}$

- We had $U'_N \leq \tilde{U}$; write $U''_N = \tilde{U} - U'_N \geq 0$.

$$U''_N = U_{SF}(U_F^{N-1} + U_F^N + \dots)U_{FS} = U_{SF}U_F^{N-1}V U_{FS},$$

where $V = (I - U_F)^{-1}$.

V_{ij} is the mean # of visits made by Y to state j , starting at i , before leaving F ($i, j \in F$).

A bounding Lemma

Assuming there are no circuits in F only composed of fast transitions, the mean sojourn time of Y in F can be upper bounded by

$$\sigma = \frac{\eta}{\mu} \frac{1 - (1 - \psi)^D}{\psi(1 - \psi)^D},$$

where

$$\lambda = \max_{i \in F} \sum_{j: (i,j) \text{ slow}} Q_{ij}, < \mu = \min_{i \in F} \sum_{j: (i,j) \text{ fast}} Q_{ij},$$

$\psi = \lambda/\mu < 1$ and D is the maximal # of fast transitions starting in F , before leaving F .

Upper-bound of $\rho^S = \tilde{\rho}$ (cont.)

- Now, we can bound U_N'' as follows:

$$U_N'' \leq B = \sigma U_{SF} U_F^{N-1} \mathbf{1} U_{FS}.$$

($\mathbf{1}$ is here a $|F| \times |F|$ matrix composed of ones.)

- We have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} B = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Finally, } \rho^S = \tilde{\rho} &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{U}^k \right) c^S \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (U_N' + U_N'')^k \right) c^S \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (U_N' + B)^k \right) c^S = \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

See that φ is computed again solving a new linear system with size $|S|$ (the system $(I - U_N' - B)\varphi = c^S$).

Upper-bound of ρ^F

- For upper bounding ρ^F , consider ν_i , the mean # of visits that Y makes to subset F when starting from $i \in S$; we have

$$\rho_i^F \leq \nu_i \sigma r^*, \quad r^* = \max\{r_k, k \in F\}.$$

- If $W = (I - \tilde{U})^{-1}$, then $\nu_i = \sum_{j \in S} W_{ij} U_{jF}$
- From the preceding results,

$$\rho_i^F \leq \sigma r^* \sum_{j \in S} W_{ij} U_{jF}.$$

Upper-bound of ρ^F (cont.)

- If u_F is the vector indexed on S whose j th entry is U_{jF} , then $\nu = W u_F$ and

$$\rho^F \leq \sigma r^* W u_F.$$

- Now, $W u_F = \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{U}^n u_F \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} (U'_N + B)^n u_F = \tau$.
- So, our upper bound of ρ^F is $\sigma r^* \tau$ where τ is the solution to $(I - U'_N - B)\tau = u_F$ (for N large enough so that $\|U'_N + B\| < 1$).

Remark: if \tilde{U} is available, then ν is computed from $(I - \tilde{U})\nu = u_F$ and the upper bound is $\sigma r^* \nu$.

A system with identical components

- The system is composed of m identical and independent components.
- Each component evolves among 3 states: operational, down and being repaired, definitely down.
- If Φ = failure rate, c = covering factor and γ = repair rate, we used $c\Phi = 10^{-6}$, $(1 - c)\Phi = 10^{-7}$ and $\gamma = 1$.
- The threshold θ was $\theta = \gamma$.
- The symmetries of the system allowed us to work on a reduced state space (strong lumpability).
- We used $m = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50$ leading to 66, 231, 496, 861, 1326 states resp.
- Here, $|S| = m$.

A system with identical components: some results

m	Lower Bound	Exact	Upper Bound
10	2.9285×10^7	2.9285×10^7	2.9285×10^7
20	3.5974×10^7	3.5975×10^7	3.5975×10^7
30	3.9932×10^7	3.9933×10^7	3.9933×10^7
40	4.2765×10^7	4.2765×10^7	4.2767×10^7
50	4.4959×10^7	4.4959×10^7	4.4961×10^7

Same system, but without using strong lumpability

- Two cases considered:
 1. $m = 11$ components \rightsquigarrow 177.147 states; $|S| = 2.048$
 2. $m = 12$ components \rightsquigarrow 531.441 states; $|S| = 4.096$
- depth in the BFS: $N = 6$

Numerical values:

m	Lower Bound	Exact	Upper Bound
11	3.0116×10^7	3.0177×10^7	3.0206×10^7
12	3.0925×10^7	3.1026×10^7	3.1031×10^7

Same system, but now the components belong to 3 classes ("CPU", "memory", "disks")

- Associated with each class there is a cost when the component is down.
- We bound here the ECRA.
- We don't use the strong lumpability property.
- Example: with 4 CPU, 5 memory units and 3 disks, we get 531 441 states; $|S| = 12$.
- Depth in the BFS: $N = 6 \rightsquigarrow$ relative error $\approx 10^{-4}$.

As before, but we replace exponentials by equivalent Coxian distributions

- The exact value is still available,
- and the state space can be huge.
- We don't use the strong lumpability property.
- An example: in a configuration we get ≈ 430 M states and $|S| \approx 1.7$ M states.
- Depth in the BFS: $N = 7 \rightsquigarrow$ a relative error $\approx 10^{-3}$.

Some concluding remarks

- Some refinements under study.
- In cases where $|S| \ll 1$, we are exploring the use of the stochastic complement with respect to a subset $S' \supset S$ plus the absorbing state (some results also in the paper ²).
- Other linear algebra ideas are also under study, based on previous work done on stationary measures (on irreducible models).
This can be of interest in particular in cases where $|S|$ can still be large.

²Presented at the “A. A. Markov Anniversary Meeting” (MAM), Charleston, USA, June 12–14 2006.