

Conditioning of the Entries  
in the Stationary Vector of a  
Google-Type Matrix

Steve Kirkland  
University of Regina

June 15, 2006

*Motivation:* Google's PageRank algorithm finds the stationary vector of a stochastic matrix having a particular structure.

Start with a directed graph  $D$  on  $n$  vertices, with a directed arc from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  if and only if page  $i$  has a link out to page  $j$ .

Next, a stochastic matrix  $A$  is constructed from the directed graph as follows. For each  $i, j$ , we have  $a_{ij} = 1/d(i)$  if the out-degree of vertex  $i$ ,  $d(i)$  is positive and  $i \rightarrow j$  in the directed graph  $D$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $d(i) > 0$  but there is no arc from  $i$  to  $j$  in  $D$ . Finally, if vertex  $i$  has outdegree zero, we have  $a_{ij} = 1/n$  for all  $j$ , where  $n$  is the order of the matrix.

Note that because of the disconnected nature of the web,  $A$  typically has several direct summands that are stochastic.

Next, a positive row vector  $v^T$  is selected, normalized so that  $v^T \mathbf{1} = 1$ . ( $\mathbf{1}$  is the all ones vector here.)

Finally a parameter  $c \in (0, 1)$  is chosen (Google reports that  $c$  is approximately 0.85), and the *Google matrix*  $G$  is constructed as follows:

$$G = cA + (1 - c)\mathbf{1}v^T. \quad (1)$$

It is the stationary distribution vector of  $G$  that is estimated, and the results are then used in Google's ranking of the pages on the web.

Motivated by the Google matrix, we consider the following class of *Google-type* stochastic matrices:

$$M = cA + (1 - c)\mathbf{1}v^T, \quad (2)$$

where  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  stochastic matrix,  $c \in (0, 1)$  and  $v^T$  is a nonnegative row vector such that  $v^T\mathbf{1} = 1$ . Denote its stationary distribution vector by  $\pi^T$ .

Throughout, we impose the additional hypothesis that for index  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the principal submatrix of  $I - M$  formed by deleting row and column  $i$  is invertible.

Observe that in the special case that  $v^T$  is a positive vector and  $A$  is block triangular with at least two diagonal blocks that are stochastic, a matrix of the form (2) coincides with the Google matrix  $G$  of (1).

*A General Question:* Suppose that we have an  $n \times n$  stochastic matrix  $S$  that has 1 as an algebraically simple eigenvalue, and stationary distribution vector  $\sigma^T$ . Given a row vector  $x^T$  whose entries sum to 1, how close is  $x^T$  to  $\sigma^T$ ?

*A Useful Approach:* It turns out that  $I - S$  has a unique *group generalized inverse*,  $(I - S)^\#$ , with the following properties:  
 $(I - S)^\# \mathbf{1} = 0$ ,  $\sigma^T (I - S)^\# = 0^T$ ,  
 $(I - S)(I - S)^\# = (I - S)^\#(I - S) = I - \mathbf{1}\sigma^T$ .

So, setting  $y^T = x^T(I - S)$ , we have  
 $y^T (I - S)^\# = x^T (I - S)(I - S)^\# =$   
 $x^T (I - \mathbf{1}\sigma^T) = x^T - \sigma^T$ .

*Objective:* For a Google-type matrix  $M$ , want to discuss the conditioning of the stationary vector. That is, if we have an estimate  $p^T$  of the stationary vector for  $M$ , want to get a sense of the accuracy of that estimate.

Specifically, want to fix an index  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and consider the following questions:

*Question 1.* Given a vector  $p^T$  whose entries sum to 1, how close is  $p_j$  to  $\pi_j$ ?

*Question 2.* If  $p^T$  is an estimate of  $\pi^T$  and we know that  $p_i \geq p_j$ , under what circumstances can we conclude that  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ ?

## Componentwise Error Bounds

*Setup:* Set  $r^T = p^T(I - M)$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , it turns out that  $p_j - \pi_j = r^T(I - M)^{\#} e_j$ . It follows that  $|p_j - \pi_j| \leq \frac{\|r^T\|_1}{2} \max\{(I - M)_{k,j}^{\#} - (I - M)_{i,j}^{\#} | i, k = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Handy Fact:* For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2} \max\{(I - M)_{k,j}^{\#} - (I - M)_{i,j}^{\#} | i, k = 1, \dots, n\} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_j \|(I - M_j)^{-1}\|_{\infty} \equiv \kappa_j(M)$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  denotes the maximum absolute row sum norm and  $(I - M_j)$  is formed from  $I - M$  by deleting the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row and column.

**Theorem 1:** a) Suppose that  $p^T$  is an  $n$ -vector whose entries sum to 1. Then for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $|p_j - \pi_j| \leq \|r^T\|_1 \kappa_j(M)$ .

b) Fix an index  $j$  between 1 and  $n$ . For each sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive vector  $p^T$  whose entries sum to 1 such that  $\|r^T\|_1 = \epsilon$  and  $|p_j - \pi_j| = \|r^T\|_1 \kappa_j(M)$ .

*Good news:*  $\kappa_j(M)$  provides a precise measure of the difference between  $p_j$  and  $\pi_j$ .  
*Bad news:*  $\kappa_j(M)$  looks like it's tricky to compute.

Consider the case  $j = n$ . Write

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A_n & \mathbf{1} - A_n \mathbf{1} \\ \hline a^T & \mathbf{1} - a^T \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right], \pi^T = [\bar{\pi}^T | \pi_n], v^T = [\bar{v}^T | v_n]. \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 1:** Suppose that  $A, \pi^T$  and  $v^T$  are partitioned as in (3). We have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } (I - M_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1} &= \frac{(I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{(1 - (1 - c)\bar{v}^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1})}. \\ \text{b) } \pi_n &= \frac{1 - (1 - c)\bar{v}^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{1 + ca^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2:** Suppose that the matrix  $A$  is partitioned as in (3). Then  $\kappa_n(M) =$

$$\max \left\{ \frac{e_i^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{2(1 + ca^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1})} \mid i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \right\}.$$



*Strategy:* Want to use the directed graph associated with  $A$ ,  $\Delta(A)$ , to yield information on the entries in  $(I - cA_n)^{-1}\mathbf{1}$ . Note that  $\Delta(A)$  is formed from the original web-graph  $D$  by taking each vertex of outdegree 0 and adding all possible outarcs from it.

*Useful Facts:*

1.  $(I - cA_n)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c^k A_n^k$ .
2.  $e_i^T A_n^k \mathbf{1} = 1$  iff every walk of length  $k$  in  $\Delta(A)$  that starts at vertex  $i$  must avoid vertex  $n$ .
3.  $\|(I - cA_n)^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-c}$ , with equality iff there is a vertex  $i$  in  $\Delta(A)$  having no path to vertex  $n$ .

Note that Useful Fact 3 allows us to bound the numerator of  $\frac{e_i^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{2(1 + ca^T (I - cA_n)^{-1} \mathbf{1})}$ , so a bound on the denominator will be enough to yield a bound on  $\kappa_n(M)$ .

**Lemma 2:** Suppose that  $n$  is on a cycle of length at least 2 in  $\Delta(A)$ , and that  $g$  is the length of a shortest such cycle. Suppose that  $A$  is partitioned as in (3). Then  $a^T(I - cA_n)^{-1}\mathbf{1} \geq a^T\mathbf{1}\frac{1-c^{g-1}}{1-c}$ . Equality holds if and only if there is a stochastic principal submatrix of  $A$  having the form

$$S = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} 0 & S_{g-1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & S_{g-2} & \dots & 0 \\ \hline & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \hline b^T & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mathbf{1} - b^T\mathbf{1} \end{array} \right], \quad (4)$$

where the last row and column of  $S$  corresponds to vertex  $n$  in  $\Delta(A)$ .

*Idea:* Apply Useful Facts 1 and 2, and the definition of  $g$ .

**Theorem 3:** a) Suppose that vertex  $j$  is on a cycle of length at least 2 in  $\Delta(A)$ , and let  $g$  be the length of a shortest such cycle. Then  $\kappa_j(M) \leq \frac{1}{2(1-c^g-ca_{jj}(1-c^{g-1}))}$ . Equality holds if and only if there is some  $i$  such that there is no path from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  in  $\Delta(A)$ , and there is a principal submatrix of  $A$  of the form (4), where the last row and column corresponds to index  $j$ .

b) If vertex  $j$  is on no cycle of length at least 2 in  $\Delta(A)$  and  $a_{jj} \neq 1$ , then  $\kappa_j(M) = \frac{1}{2(1-ca_{jj})}$ .

c) If  $a_{jj} = 1$ , then  $\kappa_j(M) \leq \frac{1}{2(1-c)}$ , with equality if and only if there is a vertex  $i$  such that there is no path from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  in  $\Delta(A)$ .

*Upshot:*

**Corollary 1:** a) If  $j$  is on a cycle of length at least 2 and  $g$  is the length of the shortest such cycle, then  $|p_j - \pi_j| \leq \frac{\|r^T\|_1}{2(1 - c^g - ca_{jj}(1 - c^{g-1}))}$ .  
b) Suppose that vertex  $j$  is on no cycle of length 2 or more in  $\Delta(A)$ . Then  $|p_j - \pi_j| \leq \frac{\|r^T\|_1}{2(1 - ca_{jj})}$ .

*Notes:*

1. Observe that the upper bound of Theorem 3 a) on  $\kappa_j$  is readily seen to be decreasing in  $g$ . We can interpret this bound as implying that if vertex  $j$  of  $\Delta(A)$  is only on long cycles, then  $\pi_j$  will exhibit good conditioning properties.
2. The upper bounds of Theorem 3 a) and b) are increasing in  $a_{jj}$ . Note that in the context of the Google matrix, either  $a_{jj} = 0$ , or the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$  is  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ .
3. Suppose that  $c = .85$  and  $a_{jj} = 0$ . Then for  $g = 2, 3, 4, 5$ , the bounds in a) are 1.802, 1.296, 1.046, 0.899, respectively.

*Question:* What happens for an index corresponding to a row of  $M$  that is equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ ?

*Note:* There is evidence to suggest that the number of such rows may be large compared to  $n$ . A 2001 web crawl of 290 million pages produced roughly 220 million pages with no outlinks.

**Corollary 2:** Suppose that  $A$  has  $m \geq 2$  rows equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ , and that row  $j$  is one of those rows. Then  $\kappa_j(M) \leq \frac{n-c(m-1)}{2((1-c^2)n-c(1-c)m)}$ .

*Idea:* Partitioning out the  $m - 1$  rows of  $A_j$  equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ , one can show that

$\mathbf{1}^T(I - cA_j)^{-1}\mathbf{1} \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{n-c(m-1)}$ . We then use that to get a bound on the denominator of the expression for  $\kappa_j(M)$ .

Notes: Suppose that  $A$  has  $m$  rows that are equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ , and let  $\mu = m/n$ . For large values of  $n$ , we see that if  $\mu > 0$ , then the upper bound of Corollary 2 is roughly  $\frac{1-c\mu}{2(1-c)(1+c-c\mu)}$ , which is readily seen to be decreasing in  $\mu$ . So, if the number of vertices of the original webgraph  $D$  having out-degree zero is large, the corresponding entries in  $\pi$  will exhibit good conditioning properties.

For instance if  $c = .85$  and  $\mu = \frac{22}{29}$ , the bound of our Corollary 2 is approximately .9824.

We can apply the results above to address Question 2.

**Corollary 3:** a) Suppose that vertices  $i$  and  $j$  of  $\Delta(A)$  are on cycles of length two or more, and let  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  denote the lengths of the shortest such cycles, respectively. If

$$p_i \geq p_j + \|r^T\|_1 \left( \frac{1}{2(1-c^{g_i}-ca_{ii}(1-c^{g_i-1}))} + \frac{1}{2(1-c^{g_j}-ca_{jj}(1-c^{g_j-1}))} \right),$$

then  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ .  
b) Suppose that vertex  $i$  of  $\Delta(A)$  is on a cycle of length two or more, and let  $g_i$  denote the length of the shortest such cycle. Suppose that vertex  $j$  is on no cycle of length two or more. If

$$p_i \geq p_j + \|r^T\|_1 \left( \frac{1}{2(1-c^{g_i}-ca_{ii}(1-c^{g_i-1}))} + \frac{1}{2(1-ca_{jj})} \right),$$

then  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ .

c) Suppose that neither of vertices  $i$  and  $j$  of  $\Delta(A)$  are on a cycle of length two or more. If

$$p_i \geq p_j + \|r^T\|_1 \left( \frac{1}{2(1-ca_{ii})} + \frac{1}{2(1-ca_{jj})} \right),$$

then  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ .

**Corollary 4:** Suppose that  $A$  has  $m \geq 2$  rows equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ , one of which is row  $j$ .

a) Suppose that vertex  $i$  of  $\Delta(A)$  is on a cycle of length two or more, and let  $g_i$  be the length of a shortest such cycle. If  $p_i \geq p_j +$

$\|r^T\|_1 \left( \frac{1}{2(1-c^{g_i}-ca_{ii}(1-c^{g_i-1}))} + \frac{n-c(m-1)}{2((1-c^2)n-c(1-c)m)} \right)$ , then  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ .

b) Suppose that vertex  $i$  is on no cycle of length two or more. If  $p_i \geq p_j +$

$\|r^T\|_1 \left( \frac{1}{2(1-ca_{ii})} + \frac{n-c(m-1)}{2((1-c^2)n-c(1-c)m)} \right)$ , then  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ .

c) Suppose that row  $i$  of  $A$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ .

If  $p_i \geq p_j + \|r^T\|_1 \left( \frac{n-c(m-1)}{((1-c^2)n-c(1-c)m)} \right)$ , then  $\pi_i \geq \pi_j$ .



Google has reported using the power method to estimate  $\pi^T$ . Suppose that  $x(0)^T \geq 0^T$ , with  $x(0)^T \mathbf{1} = 1$ , and that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x(k)^T$  is the  $k$ -th vector in the sequence of iterates generated by applying the power method to  $x(0)^T$  with the matrix  $M$ .

**Corollary 5:** a) If vertex  $j$  is on no cycle of length at least 2 in  $\Delta(A)$ , then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|x(k)^T e_j - \pi_j| \leq \frac{c^k \|\{x(1)^T - x(0)^T\} A^k\|_1}{2(1-ca_{jj})} \leq \frac{c^k \|x(1)^T - x(0)^T\|_1}{2(1-ca_{jj})}$ .

b) If vertex  $j$  is on a cycle of length at least 2 and  $g$  is the length of the shortest such cycle, then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|x(k)^T e_j - \pi_j| \leq \frac{c^k \|\{x(1)^T - x(0)^T\} A^k\|_1}{2(1-c^g - ca_{jj}(1-c^{g-1}))} \leq \frac{c^k \|x(1)^T - x(0)^T\|_1}{2(1-c^g - ca_{jj}(1-c^{g-1}))}$ .

c) If row  $j$  of  $A$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^T$ , and there are  $m$  such rows, then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|x(k)^T e_j - \pi_j| \leq \frac{c^k (n-c(m-1)) \|\{x(1)^T - x(0)^T\} A^k\|_1}{2((1-c^2)n - c(1-c)m)} \leq \frac{c^k (n-c(m-1)) \|x(1)^T - x(0)^T\|_1}{2((1-c^2)n - c(1-c)m)}$ .

## Relative Error Bounds

So far, we have considered the absolute error  $|p_j - \pi_j|$ , but how about the corresponding relative error  $\frac{|p_j - \pi_j|}{\pi_j}$ ?

We have  $\frac{|p_j - \pi_j|}{\pi_j} \leq \frac{\|r^T\|_1}{2} \|(I - M_j)^{-1}\|_\infty$ , so a bound on  $\|(I - M_j)^{-1}\|_\infty$  will lead to a corresponding bound on the relative error.

*Some Notation:* Let  $\hat{S}$  be the set of vertices in  $\Delta(A)$  for which there is no path to vertex  $n$ . For each vertex  $j \notin \hat{S}$ , let  $d(j, n)$  be the distance from vertex  $j$  to vertex  $n$ , and let  $d = \max\{d(j, n) | j \notin \hat{S}\}$ . For each  $i = 0, \dots, d$ , let  $S_i = \{j \notin \hat{S} | d(j, n) = i\}$  (evidently  $S_0 = \{n\}$  here). Suppose also that  $\bar{v}^T$  is partitioned accordingly into subvectors  $\bar{v}_i^T, i = 0, \dots, d$ , and  $\hat{v}^T$ . Finally, for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be the minimum row sum of  $A[S_i, S_{i-1}]$ , the submatrix of  $A$  on rows  $S_i$  and columns  $S_{i-1}$ .

**Theorem 4:** We have

$$\kappa_n(M) \leq \frac{\pi_n}{2(1-c)(v_n + \sum_{i=1}^d c^i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i \bar{v}_i^T \mathbf{1})},$$

so that in particular,

$$\frac{|p_n - \pi_n|}{\pi_n} \leq \frac{\|r^T\|_1}{2(1-c)(v_n + \sum_{i=1}^d c^i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i \bar{v}_i^T \mathbf{1})}.$$

If  $\hat{S} \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\frac{\pi_n}{2(1-c)(v_n + \sum_{i=1}^d c^i \bar{v}_i^T \mathbf{1})} \leq \kappa_n(M).$$

In particular, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive vector  $p^T$  whose entries sum to 1 such that  $\|r^T\|_1 = \epsilon$  and

$$\frac{|p_n - \pi_n|}{\pi_n} \geq \frac{\|r^T\|_1}{2(1-c)(v_n + \sum_{i=1}^d c^i \bar{v}_i^T \mathbf{1})}.$$

*Note:* From the Theorem 4, we see that the vector  $v^T$  is influential on the relative conditioning of  $\pi_n$ . Specifically, if  $v^T$  places more weight on vertices in  $S_i$  for small values of  $i$  (i.e. on vertices whose distance to vertex  $n$  is short), then that has the effect of improving the relative conditioning properties of  $\pi_n$ .

We treat the situation of an index corresponding to a row of  $A$  that is equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$  as a special case.

*Notation:* Suppose that row  $n$  of  $A$  is  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ . Let  $u_1^T$  be the subvector of  $v^T$  corresponding to rows of  $A$  not equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ , and let  $u_2^T$  be the subvector of  $v^T$  corresponding to rows of  $A$  equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$  and distinct from  $n$ .

**Theorem 5:** Suppose that  $A$  has  $m$  rows equal to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$ , one of which is row  $n$ . Then

$$\kappa_n(M) \leq \pi_n \frac{n - c(m - 1)}{2(1 - c)(v_n(n - c(m - 1))) + cu_2^T \mathbf{1}}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{|p_n - \pi_n|}{\pi_n} \leq \frac{(n - c(m - 1))\|r^T\|_1}{2(1 - c)(v_n(n - c(m - 1))) + cu_2^T \mathbf{1}}.$$

*Note:* We note that in the case that  $v^T = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}^T$  and  $\frac{m}{n} = \mu$ , we find that the upper bound of the Theorem 5 on is roughly  $\frac{|p_n - \pi_n|}{\pi_n} \leq \frac{n(1 - c\mu)}{2(1 - c)}$ . Evidently the upper bound is decreasing in  $\mu$  in this case.